

CADTH Health Technology Review Recommendation

NovoTTF-200A (Optune)

(Draft)

Sponsor: Novocure Canada, Inc.

Therapeutic area: Supratentorial glioblastoma (GBM)

Recommendation: Reimburse with conditions

Version: 1.0

Publication Date: February 2024 Report Length: 14 Pages



Summary

What is the indication under review?

The indication under review is for the treatment of adults with newly diagnosed glioblastoma multiforme (ndGBM) following maximal debulking surgery and completion of radiotherapy (RT) together with and after standard of care maintenance chemotherapy using temozolomide.

What is Optune?

Optune is a portable medical device that produces alternating electrical fields, called tumour- treating fields (TTFields) to target growth of cancerous cells.

How did CADTH evaluate this device?

To examine the value of Optune for the treatment of ndGBM, CADTH reviewed and critically appraised evidence submitted by the sponsor, reviewed the literature, and sought input from patient and clinician groups, as well as consulted an expert panel.

What is the CADTH Reimbursement Recommendation for Optune?

The CADTH Health Technology Expert Review Panel (HTERP) recommends that Optune **be reimbursed with conditions** for the treatment of adult patients with ndGBM following maximal debulking surgery and completion of RT together with and after standard of care maintenance chemotherapy.

Who is Eligible for Coverage?

Optune should be covered with conditions to treat adults with ndGBM following maximal debulking surgery and completion of RT.

What Are the Conditions for Reimbursement?

Optune should be reimbursed only if prescribed by a clinician certified by Novocure Canada Inc. who is specialized in oncology and the use of anti-cancer treatment, if patients have good performance status, if it is feasible to adopt Optune and temozolomide based on implementation considerations identified by HTERP, if the cost of Optune is reduced by 97%, and if patients who lack caregiver support can be accommodated.

Why did CADTH Make This Recommendation?

- •One multi-centre, open label, randomized controlled trial that compared the efficacy and safety of Optune with temozolomide in adult patients with ndGBM following maximal debulking surgery and completion of RT together with and after standard of care maintenance chemotherapy was assessed. Optune may increase overall survival (OS) rates (at 24 months) and likely increases progression free survival (PFS) rates (at 6 months) when compared to temozolomide alone.
- •Optune may meet some important needs of patients as it is an additional treatment option that may maintain their health-related quality of life (no differences in HRQoL) and that does not add safety concerns when compared to temozolomide alone.
- •Based on CADTH's assessment of the health economic evidence, Optune does not represent good value to the health care system at the submitted monthly fee. A reduction in the monthly fee is therefore required.
- •At the submitted price, Optune is estimated to cost the public payer approximately \$76 million to cover 232 patients over the initial 3 years of funding.

Additional Information

Unmet Needs in Glioblastoma

There have been no new treatment options that have been demonstrated to improve survival of GBM patients since early 2000s. None of the available current treatments are curative and the disease has a poor prognosis. Thus, several needs are not being met by current standard of care for newly diagnosed patients with GBM.

How Much Does Optune Cost?

The submitted fee for Optune is \$27,000 per month, which includes the treatment kit and support features. This cost is in addition to the cost of temozolomide.



What is the indication under review?

The indication under review is for the treatment of adult patients with newly diagnosed glioblastoma multiforme (ndGBM) following maximal debulking surgery and completion of RT together with and after standard of care maintenance chemotherapy with temozolomide. Glioblastoma (GBM) is the development of cancer among glial cells in the central nervous system and is the most common form of brain cancer in Canada. There are approximately 1,850 patients with GBM in Canada (data from 2010 to 2017).

What is Optune?

Optune is a portable medical device that produces alternating electrical fields, called tumour- treating fields (TTFields) to target growth of cancerous cells in addition to chemotherapy. Current treatment for GBM consists of a combination of surgery, RT, and chemotherapy.

How did CADTH evaluate Optune?

To examine the value of Optune for the treatment of ndGBM, CADTH:

- reviewed and critically appraised the evidence submitted by the sponsor, including clinical evidence on the efficacy and safety and economic evidence on the cost-effectiveness and budget impact.
- reviewed the literature to assess the validity of the sponsor's modelling approaches, assumptions and estimates regarding
 Optune, and to identify and describe ethical considerations relevant to the use of Optune for the treatment of ndGBM in
 Canada.
- solicited and sought input from patient and clinician groups through an open call, and established and consulted an expert panel to identify unmet needs, place in therapy, and implementation considerations regarding Optune.

CADTH's Health Technology Expert Review Panel

The Health Technology Expert Review Panel (HTERP) is an advisory body to CADTH that develops guidance and/or recommendations on non-drug health technologies to inform a range of decision makers within the Canadian health care system.

HTERP is comprised of seven core members to serve for all topics under consideration during their term of office: Chair, Ethicist, Health Economist, Patient Member, two Health Care Practitioners, and a Health Technology Assessment Specialist. In addition to the core members, HTERP will comprise up to five expert members appointed to provide their expertise on a specific topic. For this reimbursement review, 1 member with expertise in neuro-oncology, 1 member with expertise in medical oncology, 1 member with expertise in radiation oncology, and 1 member with lived experience of GBM as a caregiver were appointed.

To make its recommendation, HTERP considered the following information:

- CADTH's review of:
 - one multi-centre, randomized, open label trial in adult patients with ndGBM following maximal debulking surgery and completion of RT together with and after standard of care maintenance chemotherapy
 - o the pharmacoeconomic model and report submitted by the sponsor
 - o ethical issues related to Optune from published literature and patient and clinician group and expert panel input
- input received from an open call that included:
 - o patients' perspectives gathered by a patient group, Brain Tumour Foundation of Canada
 - o clinician input from a group of 20 Canadian oncologists who treat patients with ndGBM



Reimbursement Recommendation

The CADTH HTERP recommends that Optune **be reimbursed with conditions** for the treatment of adult patients with newly diagnosed glioblastoma multiforme (ndGBM) following maximal debulking surgery and completion of radiotherapy together with and after standard of care maintenance chemotherapy.

Rationale for the Recommendation

HTERP recognized the unmet needs of patients with GBM, for which there have been no new treatment options that improved survival since 2005.

One multi-centre, open label, randomized controlled trial (EF-14) that compared the efficacy and safety of Optune with temozolomide in adult patients with ndGBM following maximal debulking surgery and completion of RT together with and after standard of care maintenance chemotherapy resulted in a benefit:

- Optune with temozolomide resulted in likely increases of progression free survival (PFS) rates at 6 months of treatment (absolute difference, 19.1%; 95% CI, 10.6% to 27.4%). Delaying disease progression is another important goal of treatment for ndGBM that is important to patients and clinicians. HTERP concluded that Optune with temozolomide meets the need to delay disease progression at 6 months as the findings indicated a clinically meaningful benefit for PFS at this timepoint.
- Optune with temozolomide may increase overall survival (OS) rates at 24 months of treatment (absolute difference, 12.5%; 95% CI 4.7% to 20.2%) compared to temozolomide alone. The main goal of treatment of ndGBM is prolonging life and HTERP concluded that Optune meets this need at this time point.
- In addition to extending life and delaying disease progression, patients identified the need for treatments that can maintain
 their quality of life, and reduce side effects. Optune with temozolomide may result in little to no difference in health-related
 quality of life (HRQoL) when compared to temozolomide alone, and the evidence suggests there is little to no difference in
 serious adverse events between Optune + temozolomide and temozolomide alone. Optune treatment did not clearly add
 safety concerns to temozolomide alone.

However, HTERP acknowledged the limitations of the EF-14 study with concerns regarding possible selection bias that may affect the internal validity of the results with potential overestimation of the efficacy findings, and low generalizability to real-world settings.

HTERP noted that based on the economic evidence at the submitted monthly fee for Optune and public list price for temozolomide, the incremental cost-effectiveness ratio (ICER) for Optune + temozolomide versus temozolomide alone was \$899,470 per quality-adjusted life year (QALY) gained (incremental costs = \$336,902; incremental QALYs = 0.37). At this ICER, Optune + temozolomide was not considered cost-effective relative to temozolomide alone at conventional willingness to pay thresholds (e.g., \$50,000 per QALY gained or \$100,000 per QALY gained). The budget impact of reimbursing Optune through the federal, provincial and territorial public drug plans (excluding Quebec) is estimated to be \$75,795,323 over 3 years.



Table 1. Reimbursement Conditions and Reasons

Reimbursement condition	Reason	Implementation guidance	
Initiation			
 Adult patients with all of the following: newly diagnosed, supratentorial GBM received maximal debulking surgery and RT concomitant with temozolomide (45-70 Gy) completion of RT 	Evidence from the EF-14 trial demonstrated a clinical benefit in adult patients with newly diagnosed, supratentorial GBM following maximal debulking surgery and completion of RT.	_	
Patients should have good performance status.	KPS is a measure of overall health status with scores ranging from 0 to 100. Higher KPS scores post-operatively are associated with better survival. Patients in the EF-14 trial were included if they had a KPS score ≥ 70 %.	Treating patients with a KPS score of 60 and below could be at the discretion of the treating clinician.	
	Discontinuation		
3. Reimbursement of Optune and temozolomide should be discontinued upon any of the following: 3.1. Clinical disease deterioration 3.2. Unacceptable devicerelated serious adverse events 3.3. Intolerance to treatment with Optune.	Patients from the EF-14 trial did not continue treatment upon clinical disease deterioration, or after 24 months or second progression whichever occurred first, unacceptable device-related serious adverse events, or intolerance to treatment with Optune.	_	
	Prescribing		
4. Optune with temozolomide should be initiated and supervised by a clinician certified by Novocure Canada Inc. who is specialized in oncology and the use of anti-cancer treatment	Optune can only be prescribed by a clinician that has completed the required certification training provided by Novocure Canada Inc.	_	
Pricing			
5. A reduction in price of 97%	The ICER for Optune + temozolomide versus temozolomide alone was \$899,470 per QALY gained. A price reduction of between 91% and 97% is required for Optune + temozolomide to	_	
	be considered cost-effective at a willingness to pay threshold between \$50,000 and \$100,000 per QALY gained.		
Feasibility of adoption			



	Reimbursement condition	Reason	Implementation guidance
6.	The feasibility of adoption of Optune and temozolomide must be addressed	HTERP noted that at the submitted price, the uncertainty in the budget impact must be addressed to ensure the feasibility of adoption, given the difference between the sponsor's estimate and CADTH's estimate(s). HTERP noted uncertainties with identifying the appropriate public health care payer for Optune and whether the monthly fee structure is implementable.	Implementation considerations should be addressed meaningfully including providing more clarity as to who the appropriate payer would be for Optune, whether the subscription model and full set of included services indicated by the sponsor is implementable by the payer, ensuring sufficient support to facilitate device uptake to increase the ability to adhere to treatment, and ensuring the privacy, confidentiality, and security of patient data.
7.	Accommodate patients who lack caregiver support	Ensuring equitable access to, and effective use of, Optune for eligible patients may require offering additional resources for people who require support with the use of Optune but lack a caregiver.	Formal, funded supportive resources should be offered for patients requiring help with the use of Optune (e.g., with product placement and/or head shaving). Supportive resources should be reliable (to ensure continuity of treatment) and accessible (e.g., to avoid exacerbating inequities for those with limited mobility).

GBM= glioblastoma; ICER = incremental cost-effectiveness ratio; KPS = Karnofsky Performance Status Scale; QALY = quality-adjusted life year; RT = radiotherapy

Considerations

Need:

- None of the available treatments (including Optune) are curative and the disease has a poor prognosis.
- There have been no new treatment options that demonstrate improved survival of people with GBM since the early 2000s.
- The current standard of care for Canadian adult patients with ndGBM is maximal surgical resection, followed by RT plus the chemotherapeutic drug temozolomide. However, temozolomide is considerably less effective in patients with methylguanine methyl transferase (MGMT) unmethylated tumours which constitutes up to 60% of people with ndGBM.
- Quality of Clinical Evidence: HTERP noted the potential sources of bias impacting the interpretation of findings, including the possible selection bias due to enrolling patients with a better prognosis, and due to deviation from the intended intervention (cross-over). Additionally, only those patients who survived (without progression) from diagnosis to randomization were included in the study further affecting the internal validity of the results. The selection bias due to these reasons may result in higher survival outcomes in both treatment groups. HTERP acknowledged that in comparison to the average person with ndGBM presenting in real world settings, the study participants were slightly younger, with better health status and degree of independent functioning. A higher proportion of patients in the trial underwent complete resection than what might be typically seen in Canadian practice. These factors lowered the generalizability of the trial results.

HRQoL:

- The efficacy analyses suggest that the benefit of Optune + temozolomide increases with the number of hours wearing the device, with perhaps at least 18 hours as an important threshold. How this will affect HRQoL and how the level of adherence and suggested stable HRQoL during the progression-free period will translate to real world clinical settings is unknown based on the existing evidence.
- O An earlier version of the device (NovoTTF-100A) was used in the EF-14 trial. While with the same functionality, the NovoTTF-100A was heavier (around 2.7kg). The newer generation Optune (NovoTTF-200A) includes redesigned electric field generator and smaller battery making the device lighter (around 1.2kg) and more user friendly according to the manufacturer.² The lighter weight and less noise of the NovoTTF-200A could impact HRQoL, however, the degree of the impact is unknown.



• Patients' Experiences: Patients using Optune need to manage lifestyle adjustments, such as wearing it for 18 hours daily, maintaining regular head shaving and applying the arrays to the head, which may require caregiver assistance.

• Economic Impact

- HTERP discussed the ICER for Optune + temozolomide, and noted that Optune + temozolomide was not cost-effective relative to temozolomide alone at conventional willingness to pay thresholds.
- HTERP noted that price reduction of between 91% and 97% is required for Optune + temozolomide to be considered costeffective at a willingness to pay threshold between \$50,000 and \$100,000 per QALY gained.
- HTERP discussed the key areas of uncertainty that impacted the economic evaluation, and noted that there are wide ranges in time on treatment for patients using Optune in the EF-14 trial and differences in median and mean time on treatment. It is unclear whether time on treatment data from the EF-14 trial will align with time on treatment if Optune were funded in Canadian clinical practice.
- HTERP discussed that Optune at the submitted monthly fee of \$27,000 is estimated to cost the payer \$75,795,323 over 3 years. HTERP discussed the sponsor's approach of considering the federal, provincial and territorial public drug plans (excluding Quebec) to be appropriate, and noted that there was uncertainty as to who the appropriate payer would be for Optune.

Implementation considerations:

- HTERP noted there was uncertainty whether the subscription model and full set of included services indicated by the sponsor will be implementable by the health care system.
- Effectiveness of Optune appears to be dependent on treatment adherence (i.e., time wearing the device) thus patient
 motivation may be important in determining device uptake. Family/ caregiver support may be important in increasing the
 ability to adhere to treatment.
- The lifespan of Optune and its components is uncertain. The sponsor assumed that the monthly rental fee of Optune would cover repair, replacement, maintenance, technical support, and clinical support associated with the device; however, the responsiveness of the sponsor to deliver the suggested services within the monthly fee is unknown. Furthermore, it is unclear if new versions of Optune will be covered under the submitted agreement or associated with changes to the sponsor's fee structure.
- To ensure the privacy, confidentiality and security of patient data collected by the device and sponsor, sound measures need to be considered and implemented to adhere to all applicable provincial and national privacy laws. Patient-provider consent conversations should cover privacy considerations prior to initiating treatment with Optune as use of the device requires transmitting patient data to the sponsor.

Ethical considerations:

- Acceptability of Optune, and the extent to which it meets people's needs for effective, accessible, and easily useable
 treatment, will likely depend on an individual patient's values (e.g., whether they see Optune as offering hope and an
 opportunity to regain a sense of control over the disease or as burdensome or a visible reminder of the condition),
 motivation, and caregiver support network.
- As patients with ndGBM can be described as vulnerable owing to their incurable and progressive condition and reliance on clinician recommendations and referrals, and caregiver support, careful attention must be paid to the quality of consent conversations and shared decision-making. This includes ascertaining what is important for an individual patient, with their relatively limited timeframe, and which treatment(s) and care are most likely to support achieving their goals of care and vision of a good life. Eliciting a patient's values with respect to treatment is also important as disease progression may impair capacity to consent and require the involvement of a substitute decision-maker.
- For implementation, it is important to consider and mitigate potential barriers to equitable access and effective use of Optune (e.g., due to geography, socioeconomic status, provincial funding of temozolomide, lack of caregiver support, language barriers).
- o Implementation of Optune raises ethical considerations for publicly funded health care systems related to fair allocation of scarce resources. This includes determining how to weigh providing access to a therapy with potential benefit for a small population that has a high unmet need with the opportunity costs of reimbursing a highly expensive treatment in the context of limited health care budgets and other significant needs.
- Future Research: HTERP is aware of ongoing research assessing the efficacy of Optune among people with ndGBM. ³ Once available, findings of this study should be considered along with the EF-14 trial findings to further assess the clinical efficacy and safety of Optune.



Patients', Caregivers' and Clinicians' Perspectives

A call for patient, caregiver, and clinician input opened on July 13, 2023 and closed on September 1, 2023. A total of two responses were received.

Patient input was received from the Brain Tumour Foundation of Canada. Information was gathered by the Brain Tumour Foundation via online surveys and videoconference interviews conducted in 2023. In total, 339 respondents were received for the online surveys (259 caregivers, 80 patients) and 10 interviews were conducted (6 patients, 4 caregivers; all of who had experience with Optune). The majority of survey respondents were from Canada (>94%). Patients with GBM reported experiencing a wide range of symptoms including headaches, cognitive changes, changes in behaviour, weakness or problems with arms and legs, seizures, nausea, and problem seeing; all of which have significant impact on their emotional and psychological well-being. 88% and 93% of respondents indicated that they or their loved ones had undergone surgery or RT for GBT, respectively. It was noted in the submission that while these interventions have helped decrease the tumour size or slow progression, effects were often short-term and associated with side effects. Input from the 10 individuals who had experience with Optune (plus temozolomide) indicated that Optune resulted in clear MRI results, increased survival, and helped them resume several daily activities. Scalp irritation and dermatitis were the primarily reported negative side effects associated with Optune treatment with a few mentions of low blood platelet count, nausea, constipation, and tiredness. Overall, most individuals with Optune experience noted that they would recommend the treatment be made accessible to people living with GBM.

Input from clinicians was received from a group of 20 Canadian oncologists who treat patients with newly diagnosed GBM. Input noted that current treatment of GBM requires a multidisciplinary approach where surgery is generally followed by post-operative radiotherapy with concurrent temozolomide after which, maintenance temozolomide is given for a minimum of 6 months. The primary goal of therapy is to prolong life and PFS with minimal adverse events (AEs) while maximizing the patient's quality of life (QoL). Clinician input further stated that no new treatment options for newly diagnosed GBM have been introduced since 2005 and currently available treatments continue to be associated with poor prognosis. Based on the EF-14 trial, clinicians indicated that outcomes used to assess treatment response would align with those in the EF-14 trial (e.g., PFS OS). Factors including health-related QoL, neurocognitive functioning along with treatment-related cytotoxicity should be used to determine treatment discontinuation. Lastly, clinician input indicated that TTField treatment requires no additional outpatient services, such as infusion sites.

The call for input was open to drug plan input, however none was received for this review.

What did CADTH find?

A summary of key findings and uncertainties from the CADTH review of clinician, economic, and ethics considerations can be found in Table 2.

Clinical Evidence

This review included EF-14, the pivotal, multicentre, open label randomized controlled trial which assessed the efficacy and safety of Optune + temozolomide in adult patients with ndGBM following maximal debulking surgery and completion of RT together with and after standard of care maintenance chemotherapy.

Based on the single trial, there is evidence of low to moderate certainty that Optune + temozolomide likely increases PFS at 6 months of treatment and OS at 24 months of treatment compared to temozolomide alone. The treatment effect of Optune + temozolomide on PFS and OS may be dose dependent, with at least 18 hours of daily use required for the most benefit.

Optune + temozolomide may result in little to no difference in HRQoL (very low certainty) when compared to temozolomide alone. There was little to no difference in serious adverse events between Optune + temozolomide and temozolomide alone which suggests that the addition of Optune did not add safety concerns to temozolomide alone. Over half of the patients who received Optune reported skin irritation (2% severe) likely due to the transducer arrays placed on the scalp.

Overall, evidence was of very low to moderate certainty due to concerns regarding selection bias, and low generalizability of results to real world settings. No longer-term studies or indirect comparisons were identified by the sponsor for the review.



Economic Evidence

Cost and Cost-Effectiveness

The submitted fee for Optune is \$27,000 per month, which is added to the cost of temozolomide based on its public list price. Using this pricing information, the available clinical evidence, and input from clinicians, patients and caregivers who have experience with GBM, the incremental cost-effectiveness ratio for Optune + temozolomide versus temozolomide alone was \$899,470 per QALY gained (incremental costs = \$336,902; incremental QALYs = 0.37). Optune + temozolomide was not considered cost-effective relative to temozolomide alone at conventional willingness to pay thresholds (e.g., \$50,000 per QALY gained and \$100,000 per QALY gained). Consequently, a price reduction of between 91% and 97% would be required for Optune + temozolomide to be considered cost-effective at a willingness to pay threshold between \$50,000 and \$100,000 per QALY gained.

Budget Impact

The budget impact of reimbursing Optune through the federal, provincial and territorial public drug plans (excluding Quebec) is estimated to be \$75,795,323 to cover 232 patients over the initial 3 years of funding.

Ethical Considerations

GBM is physically, psychosocially, and economically burdensome for patients and their caregivers. The extent to which Optune meets patients' needs for effective, accessible, and easily useable treatment may depend on an individual patient's values and caregiver support network, especially as Optune requires managing an additional treatment modality and may require additional caregiver support. Due to generalizability limitations with pivotal trial data, further study on how, or if, factors such as functional status, race, sex, age, socio-economic status, and availability of caregiver support have implications for device uptake and ability to adhere to treatment would be helpful to inform patient-centred and equitable use given the diverse patient population in Canada. Careful attention must be paid to the quality of clinical consent conversations, including as disease progression may impair capacity to consent and require a substitute decision-maker. Consent conversations require ensuring that patients and caregivers understand that Optune is not curative and is proposed as an addition to standard of care maintenance chemotherapy, so that Optune is considered within a full range of therapeutic and care options. Equity enhancing strategies for implementation will need to be explored if Optune is to be accessible in a fair and effective manner for patients in Canada, including those who do not fit the profile of participants enrolled in the pivotal trial or who are otherwise underserved.



Key Findings and Uncertainties

Table 2: Summary of Key Findings and Uncertainties

	Key Findings	Uncertainties
Need	 GBM is a high-grade brain tumour with poor prognosis and no curative treatment. It is the most common primary malignant tumour of the CNS. There are approximately 1,850 patients with GBM in Canada (data from 2010 to 2017).¹ Current treatment strategy is Stupp's regime, which includes surgical resection followed by chemoradiation and adjuvant chemotherapy with temozolomide. GBM is physically, psychosocially, and economically burdensome for patients and their caregivers. Optune (NovoTTF-200A) is a portable and non-invasive device that treats GBM by providing continuous, loco-regional treatment with TTFields. 	 There have been no new treatment options that improved survival of patients with GBM since early 2000s. Current chemotherapeutic drug temozolomide is considerably less effective in patients with MGMT unmethylated tumors,⁴ which constitutes up to 60% ndGBM patients.⁵ None of the available treatments (including Optune) are curative and the disease has a poor prognosis.
Clinical Benefits	 CADTH reviewed evidence from a multi centre, open label RCT that compared the efficacy and safety of Optune with temozolomide in adult patients with ndGBM following maximal debulking surgery and completion of RT together with and after standard of care maintenance chemotherapy. Optune + temozolomide likely increases PFS at 6 months of treatment and OS at 24 months of treatment compared to temozolomide alone (moderate to low certainty). The treatment effect of Optune + temozolomide on PFS and OS may be dose dependent, with at least 18 hours of daily Optune use required for the most benefit. Optune + temozolomide may result in little to no difference in HRQoL (very low certainty) when compared to temozolomide alone. 	 CADTH identified weaknesses of the study that could affect the internal validity of the results The patient inclusion criteria were skewed towards enrolling patients with a better functional and disease status, and better prognosis at baseline. Only those patients who survived (without progression) from diagnosis to randomization were included in the study. This may result in higher survival outcomes in both treatment groups. Open label design of the trial created uncertainty in interpreting the patient-reported outcomes. There were concerns regarding the cross-over of some patients from the temozolomide alone arm. The study participants were slightly younger and with better health status and degree of independent functioning than is typically experienced in clinical practice. These factors lowered the generalizability of the results. Overall, evidence was of moderate to very low certainty due to concerns regarding a selection bias, and low generalizability of results to real world settings.



	Key Findings	Uncertainties
		 No longer-term studies or indirect comparisons were identified by the sponsor for the review.
Clinical Harms	 CADTH found little to no difference in serious adverse events between Optune + temozolomide and temozolomide alone (moderate certainty). Optune treatment did not clearly add safety concerns to temozolomide alone. 	 There were some adverse events related to the device such as skin irritation/ itching from the transducer arrays, but they were mostly not severe.
Patients' Perspectives, and Experiences	 Patients receiving Optune with temozolomide may benefit from clear MRI results, prolonged survival, and some resumption of daily activities. Nonetheless, they may also experience side effects, particularly scalp irritation and dermatitis. Most patients with Optune experience recommended making the treatment accessible to people living with GBM 	 Patients using Optune need to manage lifestyle adjustments, such as wearing it for 18 hours daily, maintaining regular head shaving and applying the transducer arrays to the head, which may require caregiver assistance.
Economic Impact	 The submitted fee for Optune is \$27,000 per month, which includes the treatment kit and support features. This cost is added to the cost of temozolomide. At the submitted monthly fee for Optune and public list price for temozolomide, the ICER for Optune + temozolomide versus temozolomide alone was \$899,470 per QALY gained (incremental costs = \$336,902; incremental QALYs = 0.37). At this ICER, Optune + temozolomide was not considered cost-effective relative to temozolomide alone at conventional willingness to pay thresholds (i.e., \$50,000 per QALY gained or \$100,000 per QALY gained). A price reduction of between 91% and 97% is required for Optune + temozolomide to be considered cost-effective at a willingness to pay threshold between \$50,000 and \$100,000 per QALY gained. The budget impact of reimbursing Optune through the federal, provincial and territorial public drug plans (excluding Quebec) is estimated to be \$75,795,323 over 3 years. Optune is estimated to be used by 232 patients 	 The long-term efficacy of Optune is uncertain and may be dependent on the frequency and duration of use of Optune by patients. The sponsor assumed that patients would be functionally cured after 15 years. There is no robust evidence to support the validity of this assumption. Time on treatment for Optune + temozolomide and temozolomide alone were based data from the EF-14 trial. There are wide ranges in time on treatment in the trial and differences in median and mean time on treatment. It is unclear how time on treatment data from the EF-14 trial will translate to Canadian clinical practice. Health state utility values did not meet face validity.
Implementation	 Following surgical resection and radiation therapy with concomitant temozolomide, patients would receive Optune during the adjuvant temozolomide treatment phase. The sponsor assumed the payer for Optune would be drug plans. 	 It is unclear whether the CADTH participating drug plans, as suggested by the sponsor, are the appropriate payer for Optune. It is unclear whether the subscription model and full set of included services indicated by the sponsor will be implementable by the payer.



	Key Findings	Uncertainties
	 The sponsor assumed that the monthly rental fee would cover repair, replacement, maintenance, technical support, and clinical support. A radiologist must undergo a training course provided by the sponsor and obtain certification to prescribe Optune. It is suggested that there are no additional costs to the healthcare payer associated with training physicians, patients and caregivers to be familiar with the technology. Clinical experts consulted by CADTH commented that it may be reasonable for patients to continue treatment beyond initial disease progression. 	 The lifespan of Optune and its components is uncertain. The responsiveness of the sponsor to deliver the suggested services within the monthly fee cost is unclear. It is also unclear whether any new versions will be associated with changes to the sponsor's fee structure. It is unclear whether the same standard of device repair and maintenance support observed in clinical trials could be maintained as the customer base of Optune expands in real world health system environment. Effectiveness of Optune appears to be dependent on treatment adherence (e.g., time wearing the device) thus patient motivation may be important in determining device uptake. Family/caregiver support may be important in increasing the treatment adherence. It is unclear whether any suggested discontinuation criteria can be implemented.
Ethics	 The balance of benefits, risks, and burdens associated with Optune is understood within the context of an individual patients' values and situation. Some patients may consider Optune as providing hope and an opportunity to gain a sense of control over the disease, while others may consider it as burdensome or a visible reminder of the disease. To mitigate false hope, clinicians will need to covey that burdens experienced with maintenance chemotherapy will not be lifted with the addition of Optune, and instead, patients and caregivers will be required to manage an additional treatment modality. As patients with ndGBM can be described as vulnerable, careful attention must be paid to the quality of consent conversations to support informed decision-making and respect for patient autonomy. Eliciting a patient's values with respect to treatment is also important as disease progression may impair capacity to consent and require the involvement of a substitute decision-maker. Consent conversations require ensuring that patients and caregivers understand that Optune is not curative and is proposed as an addition to 	 Acceptability of the device, and the extent to which Optune meets patients' needs for effective, accessible, and easily useable treatment, remain uncertain and will likely depend on an individual patient's values and caregiver support network. Limitations in HRQoL data and the generalizability of the trial findings have implications for consent conversations and the ability to adhere to and benefit from treatment in a diverse patient population in the realworld. Further study on how, or if, factors such as functional status, race, sex, age, socio-economic status, and availability of caregiver support, have implications for acceptability and ability to adhere to treatment would be helpful to support patient-centred care and equitable access given the diversity of the Canadian population. There are no data for pregnant patients, and neither Optune nor temozolomide are recommended for



Key Findings	Uncertainties
maintenance chemotherapy, and that Optune is considered within a full range of treatment and care options including available palliative care supports. Consent should also cover privacy considerations as use of Optune requires transmitting patient data to the sponsor.	use in this population. Patient or substitute decision-maker preferences may prompt reconsideration as risk tolerance and individual circumstances vary.
Equity enhancing strategies will need to be explored if Optune is to be accessible in a fair and effective manner for patients in Canada. Special attention is required to address barriers to accessing Optune due to geography, socioeconomic status, language barriers, requirements for additional caregiver support, and barriers to accessing oral temozolomide in jurisdictions where it is not reimbursed.	

CNS = central nervous system; GBM = glioblastoma multiforme; HRQoL = health-related quality of life; ICER = incremental cost-effectiveness ratio; MGMT= methyl-guanine methyl transferase; ndGBM = newly diagnosed glioblastoma multiforme; OS = overall survival; PFS = progression-free survival; QALY = quality-adjusted life-year; RCT = randomized controlled trial; RT = radiotherapy; TTFields = tumour treating fields.

HTERP Core Members

Leslie Anne Campbell - Chair, Nova Scotia

Louise Bird - Patient member, Saskatchewan

Sandor Demeter - Health Care Practitioner, Manitoba

Lawrence Mbuagbaw - Health Technology Assessment Specialist, Ontario

Brian Chan - Health economist, Ontario

Duncan Steele - Ethicist, Alberta

Note: As of January 2024, there is currently a committee seat vacancy for one Health Care Practitioner member

Meeting date: January 12, 2024

Regrets: None

Conflicts of interest: None

References

- 1. Walker EV, Zhou Y, Wu Y, et al. The Incidence and Prevalence of Primary Central Nervous System (CNS) Tumours in Canada (2010–2017), and the Survival of Patients Diagnosed with CNS Tumours (2008–2017). Current Oncology. 2023;30(4):4311-4328.
- 2. Kinzel A, Ambrogi M, Varshaver M, Kirson E. Tumor Treating Fields for Glioblastoma Treatment: Patient Satisfaction and Compliance With the Second-Generation Optune® System. Clinical Medical Insights: Oncology. 2019;13:1-7.
- 3. Novocure Canada I. TRIDENT Glioblastoma Clinical Trial. https://novocuretrials.com/cancer-clinical-trials/glioblastoma-clinical-trial-trident/. Accessed 2024 January 23.
- 4. Hegi ME, Diserens AC, Gorlia T, et al. MGMT gene silencing and benefit from temozolomide in glioblastoma. N Engl J Med. 2005;352(10):997-1003.
- lorgulescu JB, Sun C, Neff C, et al. Molecular biomarker-defined brain tumors: Epidemiology, validity, and completeness in the United States. Neuro Oncol. 2022;24(11):1989-2000.



Disclaimer: The information in this document is intended to help Canadian health care decision-makers, health care professionals, health systems leaders, and policy-makers make well-informed decisions and thereby improve the quality of health care services. While patients and others may access this document, the document is made available for informational purposes only and no representations or warranties are made with respect to its fitness for any particular purpose. The information in this document should not be used as a substitute for professional medical advice or as a substitute for the application of clinical judgment in respect of the care of a particular patient or other professional judgment in any decision-making process. The Canadian Agency for Drugs and Technologies in Health (CADTH) does not endorse any information, drugs, therapies, treatments, products, processes, or services.

While care has been taken to ensure that the information prepared by CADTH in this document is accurate, complete, and up-to-date as at the applicable date the material was first published by CADTH, CADTH does not make any guarantees to that effect. CADTH does not guarantee and is not responsible for the quality, currency, propriety, accuracy, or reasonableness of any statements, information, or conclusions contained in any third-party materials used in preparing this document. The views and opinions of third parties published in this document do not necessarily state or reflect those of CADTH.

CADTH is not responsible for any errors, omissions, injury, loss, or damage arising from or relating to the use (or misuse) of any information, statements, or conclusions contained in or implied by the contents of this document or any of the source materials.

This document may contain links to third-party websites. CADTH does not have control over the content of such sites. Use of third-party sites is governed by the third-party website owners' own terms and conditions set out for such sites. CADTH does not make any guarantee with respect to any information contained on such third-party sites and CADTH is not responsible for any injury, loss, or damage suffered as a result of using such third-party sites. CADTH has no responsibility for the collection, use, and disclosure of personal information by third-party sites.

Subject to the aforementioned limitations, the views expressed herein are those of CADTH and do not necessarily represent the views of Canada's federal, provincial, or territorial governments or any third party supplier of information.

This document is prepared and intended for use in the context of the Canadian health care system. The use of this document outside of Canada is done so at the user's own risk.

This disclaimer and any questions or matters of any nature arising from or relating to the content or use (or misuse) of this document will be governed by and interpreted in accordance with the laws of the Province of Ontario and the laws of Canada applicable therein, and all proceedings shall be subject to the exclusive jurisdiction of the courts of the Province of Ontario, Canada.

The copyright and other intellectual property rights in this document are owned by CADTH and its licensors. These rights are protected by the Canadian *Copyright Act* and other national and international laws and agreements. Users are permitted to make copies of this document for non-commercial purposes only, provided it is not modified when reproduced and appropriate credit is given to CADTH and its licensors.

About CADTH: CADTH is an independent, not-for-profit organization responsible for providing Canada's health care decision-makers with objective evidence to help make informed decisions about the optimal use of drugs, medical devices, diagnostics, and procedures in our health care system.

Funding: CADTH receives funding from Canada's federal, provincial, and territorial governments, with the exception of Quebec.